

CID#	: 2213006033	SID#	: 177804641076
Name	: MR.ADHISH DEWAN	Registered	: 10-May-2022 / 10:10
Age / Gender	: 38 Years/Male	Collected	: 10-May-2022 / 10:10
Consulting Dr.	: -	Reported	: 10-May-2022 / 11:55
Reg.Location	: Malad West (Main Centre)	Printed	: 10-May-2022 / 12:05

## USG WHOLE ABDOMEN

### LIVER :

The liver is normal in size (14.2 cm), shape and smooth margins. **It shows bright parenchymal echo pattern.** The intra hepatic biliary and portal radical appear normal. No evidence of any intra hepatic cystic or solid lesion seen. The main portal vein and CBD appears normal.

### GALL BLADDER :

The gall bladder is physiologically distended and appears normal. No evidence of gall stones or mass lesions seen.

### PANCREAS :

The pancreas head, body and partial tail is visualized and appears normal. No evidence of solid or cystic mass lesion. Rest of the pancreas is obscured due to bowel gas shadows.

### KIDNEYS :

Both the kidneys are normal in size, shape and echotexture.

No evidence of hydronephrosis or mass lesion seen.

Right kidney measures 9.8 x 5.1 cm. Left kidney measures 10.9 x 5.8 cm.

**Non-obstructive calculi are noted measuring 6.3 mm at the lower pole calyx and 5.6 mm at the upper pole calyx of left kidney.**

### SPLEEN :

The spleen is normal in size (9.9 cm) and echotexture. No evidence of focal lesion is noted.

There is no evidence of any lymphadenopathy or ascites.

### URINARY BLADDER :

The urinary bladder is well distended and reveal no intraluminal abnormality.

### PROSTATE :

The prostate is normal in size and volume is 13.0 cc.

CID#	: 2213006033	SID#	: 177804641076
Name	: MR.ADHISH DEWAN	Registered	: 10-May-2022 / 10:10
Age / Gender	: 38 Years/Male	Collected	: 10-May-2022 / 10:10
Consulting Dr.	: -	Reported	: 10-May-2022 / 11:55
Reg.Location	: Malad West (Main Centre)	Printed	: 10-May-2022 / 12:05

**IMPRESSION :**

- **Grade I / II fatty infiltration of liver.**
- **Left non-obstructive renal calculi.**

**Suggestion :- Clinicopathological correlation.**

**Note :** Investigations have their limitations. Solitary radiological investigations never confirm the final diagnosis. They only help in diagnosing the disease in correlation to clinical symptoms and other related tests. USG is known to have inter-observer variations. Further / Follow-up imaging may be needed in some case for confirmation of findings. Patient has been explained in detail about the USG findings including its limitations and need for further imaging if clinically indicated. Please interpret accordingly. All the possible precaution have been taken under covid-19 pandemic.

\*\*\* End Of Report \*\*\*



**Dr.VIVEK SINGH**  
**MD.RADIO-DIAGNOSIS**  
**RADIOLOGIST**